Microplastics (MP) in sewage sludge and agriculture

Sindre Langaas,

with contributions from Luca Nizzetto, Rachel Hurley and Sissel Rannekley, Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA)

Massive global (media) interest in NIA marine plastics and microplastics pollution

Akvaplan.

Microplastics in marine environments: Occurrence. distribution and effects





Figure 4: Schematic drawing showing the main sources and movement pathways for plastics debris in the oceans (Source: Florian Thevenon) [1].

Agricultural (macro)plastics









Pictures: www.grontpunkt.no

Percentage of sludge produced used in agriculture & * excluded landfill



Do microplastics spill on to farm soils?

"...A rough extrapolation from data in refs 1–3 produces a total yearly input of 63 000–430 000 and 44 000–300 000 tons MPs to European and North American farmlands, respectively...."

nature International journal of science

Correspondence

Do microplastics spill on to farm soils?

Large quantities of microplastic particles from cosmetics, clothing and industrial processes could be ending up on agricultural land that is fertilized with urban sewage sludge. This calls for urgent investigation if we are to safeguard food production and reuse wastewater products.

Unlike microplastics that pollute the oceans, little is known about the particles' prevalence and potential effects in terrestrial and freshwater environments. Because they are retained in sewage and domestic waste water after treatment in municipal plants (S. A. Carr *et al. Water Res.* **91**, 174–182; 2016), they could be contaminating agricultural soils — with unknown consequences for farm ecosystems and food security.

We estimate from emissions data (see, for example, go.nature. com/2ce026l) that 63,000– 430,000 and 44,000–300,000 tonnes of microplastics could be being added annually to farmlands in Europe and North America, respectively.

This figure exceeds the estimated global burden of microplastics in oceanic surface waters of 93,000–236,000 tonnes (E. van Sebille et al. Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 124006; 2015). Luca Nizzetto, Sindre Langaas Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo, Norway. Martyn Futter Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. luca.nizzetto@niva.no

EDVIRONMENTAL Science & Technology

Viewpoint pubs.acs.org/est

Are Agricultural Soils Dumps for Microplastics of Urban Origin?

Luca Nizzetto, *,†,‡ Martyn Futter, $^{\$}$ and Sindre Langaas †

[†]Norwegian Institute for Water Research, NO-0349, Oslo, Norway

[‡]Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment, Masaryk University, 62500, Brno, Czech Republic [§]Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden



filtration treatment effectively removes larger floating particles, while smaller and lighter particles, expectedly, are released with wastewater effluents.

The use of sewage sludge as fertilizer for agricultural applications is often economically advantageous and is common in many developed regions. In Europe and North America about 50% of sewage sludge is processed for agricultural use. Using national data on farm areas, population and sewage sludge fate (http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat), with estimates of MP emissions¹⁻³ and applying broad but conservative uncertainty ranges, we estimate that between 125 and 850 tons MP/million inhabitants are added annually to European agricultural soils

488 | NATURE | VOL 537 | 22 SEPTEMBER 2016

© 2016 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature, All rights reserved.

navn

08.12.2018

Transfer of microplastics to sludge

- Trapping efficiencies of WWTPs have been shown to vary, although some are as high as 99%^{1,2}
- Many of these captured particles are transferred to the sludge phase
- Existing studies point towards the early stages of wastewater treatment (e.g. primary, secondary) as important processes for the trapping of microplastic particles³
- However, the fate of particles is determined by practices specific to WWTPs e.g. whether the grease skimming step is transferred to the sludge or sent to landfill
- Size is likely to be an important control on the transfer of plastic particles to sludge
- Preliminary screening will trap larger particles although mesh sizes vary from plant to plant

1 Talvitie et al., 2017; Water Res.; 2 Magnusson & Noren, 2014; IVL Sweden Report; 3 Carr et al., 2016; Water Res.

Method for extraction and quantification of MP from complex matrices

Validation of a Method for Extracting Microplastics from Complex, Organic-Rich, Environmental Matrices

Rachel R. Hurley^{*†} (b), Amy L. Lusher[†], Marianne Olsen[†] (b), and Luca Nizzetto^{†‡} [†] Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Gaustadelléen 21, 0349 Oslo, Norway [‡] Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment (RECETOX), Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 753/5, Brno 62500, Czech Republic

Environ. Sci. Technol., 2018, 52 (13), pp 7409–7417 DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b01517 Publication Date (Web): June 9, 2018 Copyright © 2018 American Chemical Society

*E-mail: rachel.hurley@niva.no.





Concentrations of microplastics in sewage sludge

- Typical concentrations range between 1,000 20,000 particles per kg of sludge (dry weight) (However, studies utilise different lower size limits for the isolation and detection of microplastic particles; lower limits range between 0.48 – 250 μm)
- Concentrations become significantly higher when smaller particle sizes are analysed, e.g. 495,000 particles per kg sludge (d.w.) (0.48 – 5000 μm)¹
- Studies point towards heterogeneity in sludge concentrations and composition (dominant particle morphologies and polymer types)
- Effects of sludge treatment may influence this; Lime stabilisation may potentially shear microplastic particles, whilst anaerobic digestion may help to reduce concentrations²

1 Sujathan et al., 2017; Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.; 2 Mahon et al., 2016; Environ. Sci. Technol.

Size distribution - microplastics in sewage sludge



Types of microplastics in sewage sludge - Norway



icrobeads Fragments



Key challenges and knowledge gaps

- No two WWTPs are identical:
 - Population equivalent/influent volumes
 - Connectivity of wastewater system
 - Wastewater treatment processes
 - Sludge treatment process
- Sources of microplastics to WWTPs are likely to be numerous and may operate across different temporal timescales
- The effect of different wastewater and sludge treatment processes on determining microplastic concentrations, morphologies, or sizes delivered to sludge is still under-investigated
- The potential to compare between studies is hindered by lack of standardised methodologies
- A full size distribution of plastic particles in sludge has not been • established - where nanoplastics may also be relevant

Impact of microplastic on sludge re-use

Forfatternavn

The fate of microplastic particles once they have been released into the environment via sewage sludge remains largely unknown

- Potential for transfer to aquatic environments
 - Propagation of contamination across larger spatial scales
- Potential interactions with soil and freshwater biota
- Potential entry of particles or associated contaminants into the human food chain, yet most likely not until nanosized MPs are concerned



Number of new science documents found annually containing **Google Scholar** "microplastics AND sludge"



Thank you for listening

BACKH

NIVA

Supported by:



Water challenges for a changing world

Norwegian Agriculture Agency

Further information: sindre.langaas@niva.no